

Ref ID.	Parent Document	
	Data Management Planning Overview	
1	USEPA 2006	USEPA. 2006. Guidance on Systematic Planning Using the Data Quality Objectives Process: EPA QA/G-4. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, D.C., https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2015-06/documents/g4-final.pdf
	Data Governance	
3	DAMA 2017	DAMA International. 2017a. DAMA-DMBOK: <i>Data Management Book of Knowledge</i> , 2nd ed. The Data Management Association. New Jersey: Technics Publications, LLC.
4	Eryurek et al. 2021	Eryurek, E., U. Gilad, V. Lakshmanan, A. Kibunguchy-Grant, and J. Ashdown. 2021. <i>Data Governance: The Definitive Guide</i> . California: O'Reilly Media.
	Data Lifecycle	
5	Faundeen et al. 2013	Faundeen, J.L., T. E. Burley, J.A. Carlino, D.L. Govoni, H.S. Henkel, S.L. Holl, V.B. Hutchison, E. Martín, E.T. Montgomery, C.C. Ladino, S. Tessler, and L.S. Zolly. 2013. The United States Geological Survey Science Data Lifecycle Model: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2013-1265, http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/ofr20131265
6	USEPA 2018	USEPA. 2018. Best Practices for Data Management Technical Guide. November. https://semspub.epa.gov/work/HQ/100001798.pdf
	Data Access, Sharing, and Security	
8	CDC 2018	Centers for Disease Control (CDC). 2018. "Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996." September 14. https://www.cdc.gov/php/publications/topic/hipaa.html#:~:text=The%20Health%20Insurance%20Portability%20and,the%20patient's%20consent%20or%20knowledge
9	DAMA 2016	DAMA International. 2016. <i>The DAMA Dictionary of Data Management</i> , 2nd ed. The Data Management Association. New Jersey: Technics Publications, LLC.
10	DHS 2021	DHS. 2021. "What is Personally Identifiable Information?" U.S. Department of Homeland Security. Last modified December 8, 2021. https://www.dhs.gov/privacy-training/what-personally-identifiable-information
11	IBM 2021	IBM. 2021. "What is data security?" https://www.ibm.com/topics/data-security
12	Steneck 2007	Steneck, Nicolas H. 2007. Introduction to the Responsible Conduct of Research. Office of Research Integrity, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. https://ori.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/2018-04/rcrintro.pdf
13	USEPA 2021	United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2021. Information Security Policy.
14	USGS Undated	United States Geological Survey (USGS). Undated. "Data Management: Backup & Secure." Accessed May 2022. https://www.usgs.gov/products/data-and-tools/data-management/backup-secure
	Data Storage, Documentation, and Discovery	
	DAMA 2017	Reference ID 3
15	FGDC Undated	FGDC. Undated. "Geospatial Metadata Standards and Guidelines." Federal Geographic Data Committee. https://www.fgdc.gov/metadata/geospatial-metadata-standards
16	NISO 2008	NISO. 2008. "Metadata." National Information Standard Organization (NISO). http://framework.niso.org/24.html
17	Open Data DC 2021	Open Data DC. 2021. "Metadata Submission Guide." City of Washington, DC. https://opendata.dc.gov/documents/DCGIS::metadata-submission-guide/about
18	OGC Undated	Open Geospatial Consortium. Undated. OGC Standards Open Geospatial Consortium. https://www.ogc.org/docs/is
19	USEPA 2022	USEPA. 2022. "USEPA Data Standards." United States Environmental Protection Agency. https://www.epa.gov/data-standards . Last accessed 8/26/22.
20	USGS Undated	USGS. Undated. "Data Dictionaries." United States Geological Survey. https://www.usgs.gov/data-management/data-dictionaries . Last accessed 8/26/22.
21	USGS 2021	USGS. 2021. "USGS Metadata Creation." United States Geological Survey. Updated 6/21/21. https://www.usgs.gov/data-management/metadata-creation
	TEK Case Study: Improving Coastal Resilience in Point Hope, Alaska	
22	EA 2021	EA. 2021. Community Involvement Plan: Identifying Priority Restoration Sites for Resilience. Point Hope, Alaska. EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc., PBC (EA), Anchorage, AK. June 2021.
23	Lane 2021	Lane, Russel. 2021. Point Hope resident and village services supervisor. Informal discussion. July.
24	Oomittuk 2021	Oomittuk, Steve. 2021. Native Village of Point Hope President. Formal interview. July.
25	North Slope Borough 2017	North Slope Borough. 2017. Point Hope Comprehensive Plan 2017-2037. May. https://www.north-slope.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/PHO_Adopted_Comprehensive_Plan.pdf .
26	O'Neill 1994	O'Neill, Dan. 1994. <i>The Firecracker Boys</i> . New York: St. Martin's Press.
27	Whiting 2022	Whiting, Alex. 2022. Appendix 1: Native Village of Kotzebue Research Protocol. In: Native Village of Kotzebue Environmental Program 1997-2022. Kotzebue IRA.
	TEK Case Study: Use of TEK to Support Revegetation at a Former Legacy Uranium Mill Site, Saskatchewan, Canada	
28	Saskatchewan Energy and Resources Undated	Saskatchewan Energy and Resources. Undated. Government of Saskatchewan Archives.
29	Saskatchewan Research Council Undated	Saskatchewan Research Council. Undated. Saskatchewan Research Council Archives.
30	Woodland Aerial Photography Undated.	Woodland Aerial Photography. Undated. Ownership Saskatchewan Research Council. Woodland Aerial Photography & Doug Chisholm. http://woodlandaerialphoto.com/aboutus.htm
	LEK Case Study: Local Ecological Knowledge of Historic Anthrax in a Natural Gas Field	
32	Skirrow 2019	Skirrow, Sue. 2019. "Anthrax." Government of Western Australia, Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development. April 12, 2019. https://www.agric.wa.gov.au/livestock-biosecurity/anthrax .
33	USDA-FSA 2021	USDA-FSA. 2021. Disaster Assistance: Livestock Indemnity Program Fact Sheet. United States Department of Agriculture, Farm Service Agency. April 2021. https://www.fsa.usda.gov/Assets/USDA-FSA-Public/usdafiles/FactSheets/livestock_indemnity_program_lip-fact_sheet.pdf .

34	US Energy Information Administration 2015	US Energy Information Administration. 2015. Top 100 U.S. Oil and Gas Fields. U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. March 2015. https://www.eia.gov/naturalgas/crudeoilreserves/top100/pdf/top100.pdf .
TEK Case Study: Rest in Peace?		
35	Ford 2016	Ford, Emily. 2016. The Year in Cemetery Vandalism: 2016. December. http://www.oakandlaurel.com/blog/the-year-in-cemetery-vandalism-2016#_ftn1
TEK Case Study: Integration of Traditional Ecological Knowledge to the Remediation of Abandoned Uranium Sites		
36	CanNorth 2011	CanNorth. 2011. Country Foods Study, Uranium City, Saskatchewan Year 1-and Former Eldorado Beaverlodge Properties. Canada North Environmental Services Limited Partnership. February 2011.
37	CanNorth 2012 (NOTE: Also, referenced as "CanNorth 2011 and 2012")	CanNorth. 2012. Uranium City Country Foods Study Year 2. Canada North Environmental Services Limited Partnership. June 2012.
38	PAGC 2022	PAGC. 2022. "Welcome to the Prince Albert Grand Council Website!" Prince Albert Grand Council. Last modified February 11, 2022. https://www.pagc.sk.ca/ .
39	PAGC 2011	PAGC. 2011. Gunnar Mine and Lorado Mill Sites Remediation: Traditional and Local Knowledge Contributions to the Environmental Impacts Assessment. Prince Albert Grand Council. January 18, 2011.
40	SENEC 2015	SENEC. 2015. 2014 Uranium City Consultation on Land Use. SENES Consultants and Kingsmere Resources Services. January 2015.
41	SRC 2008 (Also SRC 2008 in figure reference)	SRC. 2008. SRC Geospatial Geodatabase. Saskatchewan Research Council. June 2008.
42	SRC 2021 (Also SRC 2021 in figure reference)	SRC. 2021. SRC Geospatial Geodatabase. Saskatchewan Research Council. June 2021.
43	YNEC 2017	YNEC. 2017. Fond du Lac Denesuline First Nation Public Consultation on Land Use. Yutthe Nene Engineering Consultants Inc. April 2017.
LEK Case Study: Collection and Application of Local Knowledge to Local Environmental Management in Duluth, Minnesota USEPA Office of Research and Development Great Lakes Toxicology and Ecology Division		
44	City of Duluth 2021	City of Duluth. 2021. "St. Louis River Corridor." City of Duluth, Minnesota. Accessed August 27, 2022. https://duluthmn.gov/parks/parks-planning/st-louis-river-corridor/ .
45	City of Duluth and Barr Engineering 2019	City of Duluth and Barr Engineering. 2019. Mud Lake Causeway Alternatives Analysis. May 1, 2019. https://duluthmn.gov/media/7954/may-1-2019-mud-lake-final-causeway-alternatives-report.pdf .
46	USEPA 2019	USEPA. 2019. Mud Lake Future Alternatives Community Values and Health Impact Analysis. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. EPA/600/F19/054. https://duluthmn.gov/media/8009/mudlakecommvaluestechmemo-final.pdf .
47	USEPA 2021	USEPA. 2021. Kingsbury Bay-Grassy Point Habitat Restoration: A Health Impact Assessment. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. EPA/600/R-21/130. https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2021-09/kingsbury-bay-grassy-point-hia-report.pdf .
Historical Data Migration Case Study: Filling Minnesota's Superfund Groundwater Data Accessibility Gap		
48	MPCA Undated	MPCA. Undated. "Minnesota Groundwater Contamination Atlas." Minnesota Pollution Control Agency. Accessed August 26, 2022. https://www.pca.state.mn.us/data/minnesota-groundwater-contamination-atlas .
49	MGS Undated	MGS. Undated. "County Well Index." Minnesota Geological Survey. Accessed August 26, 2022. https://cse.umn.edu/mgs/cwi .
Case Study: USGS Challenges with Secondary Use of Multi-source Water Quality Monitoring Data		
50	Sprague, Oelsner, Argue 2017	Sprague, Lori A., Gretchen P. Oelsner, and Denise M. Argue. 2017. "Challenges with Secondary Use of Multi-source Water-Quality Data in the United States." <i>Water Research</i> 110 (March 2017): 252-261. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2016.12.024.
51	Neumiller and Shumway 2017	Neumiller, Christine, and Laura Shumway. 2017. "Nutrient Data Management Best Practices." Presented at the International Conference on Data Management. May 10-11, 2017. http://www.icedm.net/previous-conference-agendas .
52	USEPA 2017	USEPA. 2017. Best Practices for Submitting Nutrient Data to the Water Quality eXchange (WQX). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. June 2, 2017. https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2017-06/documents/wqx_nutrient_best_practices_guide.pdf .
Valid Values		
53	ICEDM 2017	ICEDM. 2017. "Valid Values Best Management Practices in an Environmental Data Management System." International Conference on Environmental Data Management. http://www.icedm.net/s/ICEDM-Valid-Values-BMP-Final-7jnk.pdf
54	USEPA 2022	USEPA. 2022. "Substance Registry Services." US Environmental Protection Agency. https://sor.epa.gov/sor_internet/registry/substreg/LandingPage.do . Last accessed 8/27/22.
Electronic Data Deliverables and Data Exchange		
55	ICEDM 2017	ICEDM. 2017. "Minimum Requirements for a Global Standardized EDD Structure for Environmental Laboratory Data." International Conference Environmental Data Management. http://www.icedm.net/s/ICEDM-Analytical-EDD-Requirements-Final.pdf
	ICEDM 2017	Reference ID 53
Data Migration Best Practices		
56	ICEDM 2017	ICEDM. 2017. "Environmental Data Quality Audit: Foundation and Framework." International Conference on Environmental Data Management. http://www.icedm.net/s/ICEDM-Historical-Data-Migration-Audit-Final.pdf
Data Quality Overview		
57	DAMA UK Working Group 2013	DAMA UK Working Group. 2013. The Six Primary Dimensions for Data Quality Assessment: Defining Data Quality Dimensions. DAMA United Kingdom, Bristol, UK. October 2013.

58	Bansal 2021	Bansal, M. 2021. "Flying Blind: How Bad Data Undermines Business." <i>Forbes</i> . Retrieved from https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbestechcouncil/2021/10/14/flying-blind-how-bad-data-undermines-business/?sh=1677b02729e8 . October 14, 2021.
	USEPA 2006	Reference ID 1
Using Data Quality Dimensions to Assess and Manage Data Quality		
	USEPA 2006	Reference ID 1
Analytical Data Quality Review: Verification, Validation, and Usability		
59	DOD, 2019	DOD. 2019. General Data Validation Guidelines. US Department of Defense. November 2019.
60	USEPA, 2002	USEPA. 2002. Guidance on Environmental Data Verification and Data Validation. US Environmental Protection Agency, Washington D.C. November 2002.
61	USEPA, 2009	USEPA. 2009. Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use. US Environmental Protection Agency. January 2009.
62	USEPA, 2011	USEPA. 2011. Data Quality Screening Using Trend Charts. US Environmental Protection Agency Quality Assurance Office Region 9, San Francisco, CA. October 2011.
63	USEPA, 2020	USEPA. 2020. National Functional Guidelines for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review. US Environmental Protection Agency, Washington D.C. November 2020.
Environmental Data Management Systems		
64	Broman and Woo 2018 (Also, "Broman and Woo (2018))	Broman, Karl W., and Kara H. Woo. 2018. "Data Organization in Spreadsheets." <i>The American Statistician</i> 72 (1): 2-10.
65	Dunn 2010 (Also, "Dunn (2010))	Dunn, Angus. 2010. "Spreadsheets—The Good, the Bad, and the Downright Ugly." In Proceedings of the EuSpRIG 2015 Conference, Practical Steps to Protect Organizations from Out-of-Control Spreadsheets." European Spreadsheet Risks Interest Group.
66	Panko 2005	Panko, Raymond R. 2005. "What We Know About Spreadsheet Errors." <i>Journal of Organizational and End User Computing</i> , Special Issue on Scaling Up End User Development, 10(2):15-21. DOI: 10.4018/joeuc.1998040102
67	Powell, Baker, and Lawson 2009	Powell, S.G., K.R. Baker, and B. Lawson. 2009. "Errors in Operational Spreadsheets." <i>J. Org. and End User Computing</i> 21(3):24-36.
68	USEPA 2014	USEPA. 2014. Sampling and Analysis Plan—Guidance and Template v.4—General Projects—04/2014. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. https://www.epa.gov/quality/sampling-and-analysis-plan-guidance-and-template-v4-general-projects-042014
Overview of Best Practices for Management of Environmental Geospatial Data		
69	Federal Geographic Data Committee, Undated.	Federal Geographic Data Committee. Undated. Lexicon of Geospatial Terminology. Federal Geographic Data Committee, Reston, VA. https://www.fgdc.gov/policyandplanning/a-16/lexicon-of-geospatial-terminology
Organization Standards for Geospatial Environmental Data Management		
70	FGDC 2020	FGDC. 2020. "National Spatial Data Infrastructure Strategic Plan 2021-2024." Federal Geographic Data Committee. Reston, VA. https://www.fgdc.gov/nsdi-plan/nsdi-strategic-plan-2021-2024.pdf .
71	ISO 2021	ISO. 2021. ISO—Standards. International Standards Organization. Geneva, Switzerland. https://www.iso.org/standards.html .
72	Lewin 2021 (Also "Lewin [2021]")	Lewin, Matthew. 2021. "How to Review a Geospatial Strategy." ESRI. Accessed December 10, 2021. https://www.esri.com/about/newsroom/arcuser/how-to-review-a-geospatial-strategy/
Geospatial Data Collection Consistency		
73	EBJ 2020	EBJ. 2020. Digitalization & Data Management in the Environmental Industry in the 2020s. <i>Environmental Business Journal</i> 33, no. 9 & 10. https://ebionline.org/product/digitalization-data-management/
74	FedStats Undated.	FedStats. Undated. "Latitude and Longitude." Accessed August 26, 2022. https://web.archive.org/web/20140813074310/http://www.fedstats.gov/kids/mapstats/concepts_latlg.html .
75	Kerski and Clark 2012	Kerski, Joseph J., and Jill Clark. 2012. The GIS Guide to Public Domain Data. Redlands, CA: Esri Press.
76	GISGeography 2021	GISGeography. 2021. "GPS Accuracy: HDOP, PDOP, GDOP, Multipath & the Atmosphere." Modified May 30, 2022. https://gisgeography.com/gps-accuracy-hdop-pdop-gdop-multipath/
77	Maher 2020	Maher, Richard. 2020. "Datum Epochs, And How to Understand Them." April 6. Accessed November 12, 2021. https://www.xyht.com/surveying/links-need-seeing-to-datum-epochs-and-how-to-understand-them/
78	Gakstatter, 2013	Gakstatter, Eric. 2013. "Part 2: Nightmare on GIS Street - Accuracy, Datums, and Geospatial Data." Modified May 23, 2013. https://geospatial-solutions.com/part-2-nightmare-on-gis-street-accuracy-datums-and-geospatial-data/ .
79	U.S. Space Force 2022	U.S. Space Force. 2022. "GPS Accuracy." Modified March 3, 2022. https://www.gps.gov/systems/gps/performance/accuracy/#how-accurate
Geospatial Data Standards		
80	Breunig et al. 2020	Breunig, Martin, Patrick E. Bradley, Markus Jahn, Paul Kuper, Nima Mazroob, Norbert Rösch, Mulhim Al-Doori, Emmanuel Stefanakis, and Mojgan Jadidi. 2020. "Geospatial Data Management Research: Progress and Future Directions." <i>ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information</i> 9, no. 2: 95. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi9020095
81	Evans et al. 2020	Evans, Ben, Kelsey Druken, Jingbo Wang, Rui Yang, Clare Richards, and Lesley Wyborn. 2017. "A Data Quality Strategy to Enable FAIR, Programmatic Access across Large, Diverse Data Collections for High Performance Data Analysis." <i>Informatics</i> 4, no. 4: 45. https://doi.org/10.3390/informatics4040045
82	USEPA 2003	USEPA. 2003. Guidelines for Creating a Geospatial Quality Assurance Project Plan: EPA QA/G-5G. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency: Washington, D.C. March 2003. https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2015-06/documents/g5g-final.pdf .
Geospatial Data: GIS Hardware		
83	ESRI Undated	ESRI. Undated. "Components of ArcGIS for Server." Accessed August 26, 2022. https://enterprise.arcgis.com/en/server/10.3/get-started/linux/components-of-arcgis-for-server.htm
84	USGS Undated	USGS. Undated. "What is a geographic information system (GIS)?" Accessed August 26, 2022. https://www.usgs.gov/faqs/what-a-geographic-information-system-gis?qt-news_science_products=0#qt-news_science_products
Geospatial Metadata		

	EBJ 2020	Reference ID 73
85	GISGeography 2022	GISGeography. 2022. "What is Metadata? (Hint: It's All About the Data)." Modified June 4, 2022. https://gisgeography.com/gis-metadata/ .
Geospatial Data Software		
86	Huisman and de By, 2009	Huisman, Otto, and Rolf A. de By. 2009. <i>Principles of Geographic Information Systems</i> , 4th ed. Enshede, The Netherlands: The International Institute for Geo-Information Science and Earth Observation (ITC).
Geospatial Data Dissemination: Web Format		
87	Fu and Sun, 2011 Also "Fu and Sun (2011)"	Fu, Pinde, and Jiulin Sun. 2011. <i>Web GIS—Principles and Applications</i> . Redlands, CA: Esri Press.
88	Tomlinson 2003	Tomlinson, Roger. 2003. <i>GIS—Thinking about Geographic Information System Planning for Managers</i> . Redlands, CA: Esri Press.
Geospatial Visualization of Environmental Data		
89	Field 2018	Field, Kenneth. 2018. <i>Cartography</i> . Redlands, CA: Esri Press
90	Balley et. al. 2014 Also "Balley et. al. (2014)"	Balley, Sandrine, Blanca Baella, Sidonie Christophe, Maria Pla, Nicolas Regnauld and Jantien Stoter. 2014. "Chapter 2, Map Specifications and User Requirements." In <i>Abstracting Geographic Information in a Data-Rich World, Lecture Notes in Geoinformation and Cartography</i> , edited by Dirk Burghardt, Cécile Duchêne, and William Mackaness. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-00203-3_2
Public Communication and Stakeholder Engagement		
94	Corner, Shaw, and Clarke 2018	Corner, Adam, Chris Shaw, and Jamie Clarke. 2018. "Principles for Effective Communication and Public Engagement on Climate Change: A Handbook for IPCC Authors." Oxford: Climate Outreach. January 2018. https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2017/08/Climate-Outreach-IPCC-communications-handbook.pdf
	EA 2021	Reference ID 22
95	GO FAIR 2021	GO FAIR. 2021. FAIR Principles. https://www.go-fair.org/fair-principles/ . Last accessed September 15, 2021.
99	Jarreau et al. 2017	Jarreau, Paige Brown, Zeynep Altinay, and Reynolds, Amy. 2017. Best Practices in Environmental Communication: A Case Study of Louisiana's Coastal Crisis. <i>Environmental Communication</i> 11:2, 143-165. DOI: 10.1080/17524032.2015.1094103
103	Wilkinson et al. 2016	Wilkinson, Mark D., Michel Dumontier, IJsbrand Jan Aalbersberg, Gabrielle Appleton, Myles Axton, Arie Baak, Niklas Blomberg, Jan-Willem Boiten, Luiz Bonino da Silva Santos, Philip E. Bourne, Jildau Bouwman, Anthony J. Brookes, Tim Clark, Mercè Crosas, Ingrid Dillo, Olivier Dumon, Scott Edmunds, Chris T. Evelo, Richard Finkers, Alejandra Gonzalez-Beltran, Alasdair J.G. Gray, Paul Groth, Carole Goble, Jeffrey S. Grethe, Jaap Heringa, Peter A.C 't Hoen, Rob Hooft, Tobias Kuhn, Ruben Kok, Joost Kok, Scott J. Lusher, Maryann E. Martone, Albert Mons, Abel L. Packer, Bengt Persson, Philippe Rocca-Serra, Marco Roos, Rene van Schaik, Susanna-Assunta Sansone, Erik Schultes, Thierry Sengstag, Ted Slater, George Strawn, Morris A. Swertz, Mark Thompson, Johan van der Lei, Erik van Mulligen, Jan Velterop, Andra Waagmeester, Peter Wittenburg, Katherine Wolstencroft, Jun Zhao and Barend Mons. 2016. "The FAIR Guiding Principles for Scientific Data Management and Stewardship." <i>Scientific Data</i> 3: 160018. doi:10.1038/sdata.2016.18
105	Zong et al. 2022	Zong, Jonathan, Crystal Lee, Alan Lundgard, JiWoong Jang, Daniel Hajas, and Arvind Satyanarayan. 2022. "Rich Screen Reader Experiences for Accessible Data Visualization." MIT Visualization Group. Eurographics Conference on Visualization (EuroVis) 2022. 41:3. http://vis.csail.mit.edu/pubs/rich-screen-reader-vis-experiences/
What is TEK?		
106	Miraglia 1998	Miraglia, Rita A. 1998. Traditional Ecological Knowledge Handbook: A Training Manual and Reference Guide for Designing, Conducting, and Participating in Research Projects Using Traditional Ecological Knowledge. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Anchorage, AK. http://www.adfg.alaska.gov/techpap/rp97052b.pdf .
107	NRCS Undated	NRCS. Undated. Traditional Ecological Knowledge: An Important Facet of Natural Resources Conservation. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Baton Rouge, LA. https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/stelprdb1045244.pdf .
108	NYSDEC 2020	NYSDEC. 2020. New York State Forest Action Plan. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Albany, NY. December 2020. https://www.dec.ny.gov/docs/lands_forests_pdf/nysfap.pdf .
109	U.S. FWS 2011	U.S. FWS. 2011. Traditional Ecological Knowledge for Application by Service Scientists, Fish & Wildlife Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture. February 2011. https://www.fws.gov/nativeamerican/pdf/tek-fact-sheet.pdf .
110	U.S. NPS 2020	U.S. NPS. 2020. "Overview of TEK." National Park Service, U.S. Department of Interior. Modified August 5, 2020. https://www.nps.gov/subjects/tek/description.htm .
Acquiring Traditional Ecological Knowledge Data		
111	Anderson 2010	Anderson, Jane. 2010. Indigenous/Traditional Knowledge and Intellectual Property. Center for the Study of the Public Domain, Duke University School of Law, Durham, NC. https://web.law.duke.edu/cspd/pdf/ip_indigenous-traditionalknowledge.pdf .
112	U.S. NPS 2018	U.S. NPS. 2018. "Protection of TEK." National Park Service, U.S. Department of Interior. Modified February 23, 2018. https://www.nps.gov/subjects/tek/protection.htm# .
113	WIPO Undated	WIPO. Undated. Traditional Knowledge and Intellectual Property—Background Brief. World Intellectual Property Organization. Accessed April 8, 2022. https://www.wipo.int/pressroom/en/briefs/tk_ip.html
Using and Consuming Traditional Ecological Knowledge Data		
114	USACE 2015	USACE. 2015. Colonie Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP) Site Record of Decision, Colonie Site Main Site Soils. United States Army Corps of Engineers, New York District Office.
Managing Traditional Ecological Knowledge Data		
115	Cresswell 2004	Cresswell, Tim. 2004. <i>Place: A Short Introduction</i> . Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishing.
7	Fond du Lac Band of Lake Superior Chippewa 2018	Fond du Lac Band of Lake Superior Chippewa. 2018. Expanding the Narrative of Tribal Health: The Effects of Wild Rice Water Quality Rule Changes on Tribal Health. Fond du Lac Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Resource Management Division, Cloquet, MN. http://www.fdlrez.com/rm/downloads/WQSHIA.pdf .
31	Tuan 1975	Tuan, Yi-Fu. 1975. "Place: An Experiential Perspective." <i>The Geographical Review</i> LXV (2): 151-165.
2	Williams et al. 2018	Williams, Kathleen, David Bolgrien, Joel Hoffman, Ted Angradi, Jessica Carlson, Rosita Clarke, Adam Fulton, Heidi Timm-Bijold, Molly MacGregor, Anett Trebitz, and Salaam Witherspoon. 2018. How the Community Value of Ecosystem Goods and Services Empowers Communities to Impact the Outcomes of Remediation, Restoration, and Revitalization Projects. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Center for Environmental Assessment, Duluth, MN. EPA/600/R-17/292.